REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are amended above. Claims 10-11 are cancelled without prejudice. Claim 9 was previously cancelled.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for his suggestions regarding claim amendment. Claims 1-8 now recite a computer implemented method in the preamble and use of a computer in the steps of the claims. Support for such amendments is as follows: One of ordinary skill would know that certain steps must be performed on a computer, for example.

- 1. entering into a relational database as described on page 6, line 26 to page 7, line 2 $\,$
- 2. building web pages as described on page 7, lines 15-23 and page 8, lines 5-10
- 3. adding links or hyperlinks to web pages, page 7, lines 25-26. Also, as stated in M.P.E.P. 2163, II, A., 3, a. "What is conventional or well known to one of ordinary skill in the art need not be disclosed in detail."

The amending of claims 1-8, therefore overcomes their rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101. Claims 10-11 are cancelled. Their rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is therefore moot. Claim 12 as originally drafted does recite a computer readable medium having computer instructions recorded therein and is therefore allowable under 35 U.S.C. 101.

The Application is deemed in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707, 707.07(d) and 707.07(j) in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 43,001

Dated: 12/01/09 By: /John Pivnichny/
John R. Pivnichny

Telephone: (607)429-4358 Fax: (607)429-4119